



## King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1093/schbul/sbv123](https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbv123)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Mishara, A., Bonoldi, I., Allen, P., Rutigliano, G., Perez, J., Fusar-Poli, P., & McGuire, P. (2016). Neurobiological models of self-disorders in early schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 42(4), 874-880.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbv123>

### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [librarypure@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:librarypure@kcl.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# **NEUROBIOLOGICAL MODELS OF SELF DISORDERS IN EARLY SCHIZOPHRENIA**

Mishara A a\*<sup>1</sup>, Bonoldi I a\*<sup>2</sup>, Allen P<sup>2</sup>, Rutigliano G<sup>2</sup>, Perez J<sup>3,4</sup>, Fusar-Poli P<sup>2</sup> b\*, McGuire P<sup>2</sup> b\*

Department of Clinical Psychology, Chicago School of Professional Psychology,  
Southern California Campus, Los Angeles, CA USA

Department of psychosis studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and  
Neuroscience, King's College of London

CAMEO Early Intervention Services, Fulbourn Hospital, Fulbourn, Cambridge,  
UK

Department of Psychiatry, Cambridge University, UK

(a\*joint first auth

b\* joint last auth)

Abstract: 94 words

Main text: 2,387

Legends: 22

Total: 2,503

Keywords: schizophrenia, psychosis, self disorders, neuroimaging, prodromal,  
phenomenological psychiatry, Heidelberg mescaline study, aberrant salience, Bayesian-  
modelling, Gruhle, Mayer-Gross

Corresponding author: Dr. Ilaria Bonoldi, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and  
Neuroscience, King's College of London, 16 De Crespigny Park, SE5 8AF, Department  
of psychosis studies PO 63, [ilaria.bonoldi@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:ilaria.bonoldi@kcl.ac.uk)

**Abstract**

Self-disorders (SDs) (from the German Ichstörungen) are alterations of the first-person perspective, long associated with schizophrenia, particularly in early phases. Although psychopathological features of SDs continue to be studied, their neurobiological underpinnings are unknown. This makes it difficult to integrate SDs into contemporary models of psychosis.

The present review aims to address this issue, starting from an historical excursus revealing an interconnection between neuroscientific models and the origin of the psychopathological concept of SDs. Subsequently, the more recent neurobiological models related to SDs are discussed, particularly with respect to the onset of schizophrenia.

## **Introduction**

Self-disorders (SDs) are disturbances of “basic-self” (a “pre-reflective” sense of first-person perspective)<sup>1,2</sup>. They are characteristic features of the schizophrenic spectrum disorders, often preceding the onset of full-blown psychosis. SDs manifest as a variety of anomalous subjective experiences including depersonalization, diminished sense of existing as bodily subject, distortions of first-person perspective, reduced sense of coherence in fundamental features of self (e.g., sense of anonymity, identity confusion, etc.), and disturbed self-other/self-world boundaries<sup>3</sup>. Recent evidence suggests that SDs are core phenomena of schizophrenia<sup>4</sup>. In subjects experiencing first episode psychosis, SDs are associated with schizophrenia as opposed to affective psychoses<sup>4</sup>, greater symptom severity, neuropsychological impairment<sup>6</sup>, poor treatment compliance and clinical outcome<sup>5</sup>. In people at Ultra High Risk for psychosis (UHR), the SDs are associated with increased risk for transition to psychosis<sup>7</sup>. Despite the clinical importance of SDs, their neurobiological underpinnings are unknown, limiting their integration in contemporary models of psychosis, which have a major neurobiological component. This review aims to address this issue. We offer an historical perspective revealing that SDs and neuroscientific models of schizophrenia have been interrelated since their original conceptualization. We then examine the evidence linking SDs with contemporary models of the early phase of schizophrenia.

## **Historical Definition of SDs**

The origin of the SDs term is sometimes attributed to Jaspers. Although Jaspers (1913)<sup>8</sup> describes phenomena related to SDs, e.g., passivity experiences, being “influenced,” inserted/withdrawn thoughts, etc., he did not systemize them under the SDs-concept. The SDs-concept was rather developed by Jaspers’ Heidelberg colleagues (Gruhle, Mayer-Gross, Beringer). For the first time as far as we know, we report: (1) the role of Jaspers’ Heidelberg colleagues (the early Heidelberg School) in the origin and development of the SDs; (2) Gruhle’s (1915)<sup>9</sup> coining the term SDs: “Although I found the experience frequently described, it was never captured by its own term...Tentatively, I call this passivity - the nonparticipation in one’s own experience - a self-disorder...”(our translation).

## **Self-disorders as Aberrant Salience: The Heidelberg Psychotomimetic Mescaline Study**

### *The Heidelberg Psychotomimetic Mescaline Study*

The development of the SDs-concept was associated with a pivotal study<sup>10-12</sup> by the Heidelberg school in the 1920s concerning the psychotomimetic effects of mescaline in modeling SDs. Beringer<sup>10-13</sup> injected mescaline hydrochloride intramuscularly to phenomenologically study “the structure” of healthy individuals’ subjective experience of the psychotomimetic SDs, thus paving the way for hypotheses about neurocognitive mechanisms. The results indicated that SDs involve disruption of embodied perceptual experience, which affects the experience of time, space and continuity of self-experience

(see Table 1).

[Place Table 1 about here]

### *Mescaline, SDs and automatic processing*

These studies supported Gruhle's<sup>14,15</sup> observation that perceptions, movements and hallucinations are experienced in SDs as having independence from self (ich-unabhängig<sup>10</sup>). Perceiving, moving, speaking, thinking, willing are normally supported by automatic processes. With SDs, the patient experiences these automatic processes as independent “automatisms” having foreign agency. This is consistent with the hypotheses that SDs have common neurobiological mechanisms and the notion that SDs are disturbances of the relationship of self to its own processing<sup>14-17</sup>.

### *Mescaline, SDs and thought disturbances*

Mayer-Gross, a participant in the mescaline studies, observed that hallucinations and the “made” thoughts, etc., have a common component: it is not that thoughts are *ascribed* to alien agency, they are “*perceived*” as alien. The suggestion is that for subjects with SDs, *thinking is experienced as sensory*, as in thoughts that are audible to the subject (Gedankenlautwerden) and auditory verbal hallucinations (thought to be derived from inner speech). Mayer-Gross<sup>16,17</sup> described “a making sensual (Versinnlichung)” in the “sensory representation of thoughts...Without this change, the manifestations remain inexplicable.” By observing subtle self-perceived cognitive and other disturbances in

prodromal schizophrenia and in mescaline intoxication, Mayer-Gross<sup>17</sup> “anticipated” Huber’s basic-symptoms concept<sup>18</sup>. Because basic-symptoms are subtle, subclinical disturbances experienced as arising from oneself, they do not overlap with SDs, which, are perceived, by definition, as *happening to self, without the self’s participation*.<sup>9-12,14-17</sup> Nevertheless, mescaline models both the earlier self-perceived subtle cognitive-difficulties (Denkerschwerung) and, at higher doses, their transition to thoughts becoming sensory in the SDs leading to experiences of thought-insertion/withdrawal/broadcasting, etc.<sup>10-12,16,17</sup>

#### *SDs as primary symptoms*

In the 20’s and early 30’s, Gruhle and Mayer-Gross, further developed the SDs-concept by proposing that SDs were among the primary symptoms of early schizophrenia (in Jaspers’ sense)<sup>14-17</sup>. As the other primary symptoms, SDs are “nonunderstandable in terms of their historical-cultural context and the person’s biography (or motivations) because the underlying neurobiological process has interrupted the person’s development...Something new (unprecedented, non derivable) must be present.”<sup>19</sup>

It was only later that Kurt Schneider<sup>20</sup>, who in 1946 became Director of the Heidelberg Psychiatric Clinic, further systemized the phenomenology of SDs in schizophrenia, which he included among its first rank symptoms.

#### *SDs and aberrant salience in early psychosis*

Gruhle and Mayer-Gross maintained that SDs involve the interruption of the

understandable context or inner-connectedness of experience. This is in line with Hemsley's<sup>21</sup> hypothesis that cognitive disturbances in schizophrenia involve a change in the way stored material is integrated with sensory input. A failure to relate sensory input to a contextually appropriate frame of reference would lead to faulty or absent expectation and thus to inappropriate allocation of attention to details of the environment not normally reaching awareness (what today is called aberrant salience). As Jaspers' primary delusions<sup>8,19</sup>, the SDs are "nonunderstandable" for the interviewing clinician, but –unlike primary delusions- *they are also nonunderstandable for the patient*<sup>14-17</sup>. Inserted thoughts, for example, concern harmless, mundane circumstances. Yet, the patient knows precisely which thoughts are inserted, e.g., the thought to go to a concert is suddenly experienced as foreign<sup>14,15</sup>.

Similarly to the aberrant salience thought to underlie prodromal delusional mood,<sup>19</sup> SDs disrupt the on-line contribution from past experience in shaping the inner-continuity of consciousness. Previous learning is experienced as irrelevant thus disrupting context.<sup>19,21</sup> Seeming to come from "nowhere," the made-experiences, withdrawn thoughts or other SDs-symptoms disrupt "the inner-connectedness of current concerns,"<sup>14</sup> (i.e., goal-processing). The patient himself/herself is *surprised*.<sup>14-17</sup> This process suggests an analogy with what in more recent accounts is called a prediction-error signal in Bayesian-modeling<sup>22</sup>. Due to the ongoing "interruption" by the made or influenced perceptions, movements, thoughts, etc., there is a reduction of what the patient expects or anticipates (das Vorschauende) from moment to moment;<sup>16,17</sup> there is only the compelling sensory-



evidence of now (aberrant salience): “no temporal order prevails, each sensory impression is equally valued, replacing its predecessor”<sup>16</sup>. The experience is new and compelling and the patient’s autobiographical past seems irrelevant.<sup>14,15,19</sup> Moreover, the prediction-error disrupts the event encoding of autobiographical memories into coherent self-experience (reviewed in<sup>19</sup>). In summary, the early Heidelberg account is compatible with later Bayesian modeling: SDs occur across different modalities (perceptual, motor, sensorimotor, volition, memories, thoughts) suggesting that a common mechanism, (prediction error in current terminology) applies to each modality.

## **Neurobiological/Neurocognitive models of dysfunction implicated in SDs**

### ***Self-monitoring models***

A failure to recognize stimuli as self-generated might result in the inability to ignore irrelevant stimuli, causing the misattribution of self-generated processes to other agents<sup>23</sup>. Different brain areas have been implicated as playing a key role in self-monitoring, reviewed as follows.

### ***Self-referential processing***

Self-referential processing regards stimuli that are experienced as strongly related to one own’s person<sup>24</sup>. There is evidence that cortical midline structures (CMS), including the medial prefrontal cortex, the anterior and posterior cingulate cortices and the precuneus are important for self-referential processing<sup>24</sup> and increasingly activated during tasks

requiring judgments about the self-relevance of stimuli, independently from the nature of the stimulus or sensory modality. Together with inferior parietal and lateral temporal areas, the CMS comprise part of the “default-mode network”, deactivated during engagement in non self-referential tasks (e.g., focal-cognitive/executive tasks) and are active during resting or baseline conditions<sup>24</sup>. Since this network plays a so-called pre-reflective role during tasks requiring active reflection of self<sup>24</sup>, it is thought to mirror the pre-reflective basic-self as a unified, stable perspective of the subject in relation to the environment, altered in SDs. Furthermore, CMS engagement across different stimuli modalities is in line with the hypothesis<sup>14-17</sup> that SDs have common neurobiological mechanisms across the modalities of perceiving, acting, thinking, willing. The CMS (together with insula<sup>25</sup>) are involved in assigning first-person perspective<sup>26</sup> and contribute to form the sense of basic-self as “subject and agent of perception”, as opposed to self as “object of attribution”<sup>27</sup>. Moreover, CMS are involved in self-representation in the past, future and present<sup>24</sup>, suggesting their role in maintaining the temporal continuity<sup>(cf.14-17)</sup> and uniqueness of basic-self. Dysfunctions in CMS may result in SDs, hyperreflexivity, increases in self-focus, self-other boundary confusion and passivity phenomena.

#### *Sensorimotor integration (forward model deficit)*

Other authors propose that CMS and default mode network are not specific to pre-reflective sense of self, but rather associated with general cognitive functions involved in inferential processing and memory-retrieval<sup>28</sup>. Specifically, they argue that the self-

specific first-person perspective altered in SDs is anchored in sensorimotor integration. According to Frith<sup>23</sup>, commentaries by<sup>29,30</sup>, an efference copy of the action is matched with feedback from the action actually made, creating *sense of ownership*. A copy of the intention to act is sent as feed forward information to a comparator: a match with the occurrence of the action generates *sense of agency*. Forward-models predict and dampen the perception of planned actions, allowing discrimination of self- from non-self-generated actions, thus contributing to sense of agency. A recent fMRI study confirmed that patients with schizophrenia seem unable to predict and suppress the sensory consequences of their actions.<sup>31</sup> Hauser and colleagues demonstrated that altered sense of agency linked to abnormal sensorimotor predictions is already present in UHR subjects<sup>32</sup>.

Other authors propose that the sensorimotor integration model could be extended to encompass other domains including mental actions (emotions and thoughts).<sup>27</sup> In early schizophrenia, delusions of control may derive from misattribution of self-generated movements, emotions, thoughts as externally generated, resulting from a dysfunctional forward-model mechanism<sup>23</sup>, involving disconnectivity between motor and sensory cortices<sup>33</sup>. Somatosensory related areas (postcentral gyrus, insula, temporo-parietal-junction), and anterior cingulate and prefrontal cortex are crucial for the sense of being an embodied subject<sup>24</sup>, via their interactions with key motivational and limbic areas such as the ventral striatum and amygdala. In early schizophrenia, impaired prediction (in sensorimotor, emotional, perceptual, thought domains) would undermine sense of ownership and agency for both mental content and actions. For example, corollary

discharge issuing from frontal areas where thoughts are generated would fail to alert auditory cortex that the thoughts are self-generated, leading to SDs involving sense of agency and ownership, the misattribution of inner speech to external sources, hearing one's thoughts spoken aloud and auditory hallucinations<sup>34</sup>.

### ***Salience models***

Bayes' theorem proposes that our expectations determine how we interpret new evidence. Conversely, subjective beliefs are updated accounting for the new evidence. Violation of expectations (prediction errors) makes an event attention grabbing, i.e., more salient. If prediction error does not fit the knowledge based on previous experience, a new inference occurs<sup>22</sup>. The following models suggest that the dysfunction in the capacity to compare predicted and incoming stimuli, and thus to adequately interpret the experience may be related to dysfunctional salience processing and therefore contribute to SDs.

### ***Novelty and motivational Salience***

Subcortical dopamine release from Ventral Tegmental Area occurs when a novel stimulus is experienced, signaling salience, orienting attention and motivating behaviour<sup>35</sup>. The novelty signal is thought to arise in the hippocampus<sup>36</sup>, which may act as “comparator”, vital to the inner-continuity of consciousness in terms of prediction from past experience<sup>21</sup>. This process is also important for the formation of episodic long-term memories for novel events<sup>36</sup>. Aside from novelty processing, the attribution of salience to environmental stimuli is important to motivate behaviour in relation to reward.

For example, incentive salience is a psychological process that modifies the perception of stimuli, imbuing them with salience, making them attractive<sup>37</sup>. Neurobiological alterations of salience attribution may lead to faulty, or reduced expectations, resulting in difficult integration between actual situation and one's prediction. When mismatch occurs, innocuous environmental stimuli motivate attentional orientation due to dysregulated striatal dopamine and prediction error signaling.<sup>38</sup> Attention is allocated to stimuli, which otherwise go unnoticed, leading in turn to an excessive awareness of stimuli. This disruption of the tacit structure of normal experience may account for hyperreflexivity, labeled as integral to the SDs, introducing awkward rigidity, slowness, and sense of perplexity in the person's interactions with world<sup>3,4</sup>.

This model may account for the ability to automatically grasp the significance of an event, action or sentence given its social context. In fact, evidence from animal and human studies suggests that the neural circuit involved in the learning and memory processes that enable context-dependent behaviour includes the hippocampus, amygdala, medial prefrontal cortex and ventral striatum<sup>38,39,40</sup>. The implicit “grip” of the “rules of the game” or “common sense”<sup>2,19,41,42</sup>, includes a sense of the situationally appropriate. Disruption of one's “grasp” of the field of awareness may occur with hyperreflexivity and diminished self-experience, thought to be fundamental to SDs<sup>2</sup>.

### *Aberrant salience*

The aberrant salience model of psychosis mostly implicates a corticostriatal network comprising the midbrain, basal ganglia, lateral medial-temporal, and prefrontal cortex<sup>35</sup>.

Disruption of dopamine's role in learning relevant associations and updating inferences and beliefs about the world could result in delusion formation/positive symptoms<sup>22</sup>. Salience attribution has been studied in patients with early psychosis at both behavioral and neural levels using reward-learning tasks. The inability to distinguish between relevant and irrelevant stimuli in early schizophrenia may result in an alteration of how objects and meanings emerge from background context. The ability to recollect the past, interpret the present and anticipate the future is disrupted. Consequences of that may be the SDs, a loss of "common sense", and hyper-reflexivity.

[Place Table 2 about here]

### **Conclusions and implications for early schizophrenia**

A model integrating phenomenological, neurocognitive and neurobiological aspects of SDs is likely to improve our understanding of the mechanisms that underlie early schizophrenia. Conceptually, the neurochemical and neurofunctional alterations observed in UHR and first episode subjects could be better associated with their subjective inner-world and feelings. On the diagnostic/prognostic side, it may support risk stratification and individualized focused interventions in early psychosis. Among anomalous experiences in UHR, SDs have high specificity for the schizophrenic spectrum<sup>7</sup>. Unlike attenuated positive symptoms, which have no prognostic significance, SDs (particularly passivity phenomena<sup>43</sup>) seem to have convincing predictive power<sup>7</sup> of conversion to schizophrenia. If results are replicated, SDs assessment could be used by on-going international early psychosis projects (e.g. PRONIA, PSYSCAN) that are developing translational diagnostic and prognostic tools by integrating psychopathology and

neuroscience modalities. Finally, a comprehensive model combining neuroscience and SDs could create an experimental platform for the development and assessment of novel treatments targeting SDs in early psychosis.

## REFERENCES

1. Frank M. Selbstbewusstsein und Selbsterkenntnis. Stuttgart: Reclam; 1991.
2. Sass LA, Parnas J. Schizophrenia, consciousness, and the self. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 2003;29(3):427-444.
3. Parnas J, Moller P, Kircher T, Thalbitzer J, Jansson L, Handest P, Zahavi D. EASE: Examination of Anomalous Self-Experience. *Psychopathology* 2005;38:236-258.
4. Parnas J, Raballo A, Handest P, Jansson L, Vollmer-Larsen A, Saebye D. Self-experience in the early phases of schizophrenia: 5-year follow-up of the Copenhagen Prodromal Study. *World Psychiatry* Oct 2011;10(3):200-204.
5. Haug E, Lien L, Raballo A, Bratlien U, Oie M, Andreassen OA, Melle I, Moller P. Selective aggregation of self-disorders in first-treatment DSM-IV schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* Jul 2012;200(7):632-636.
6. Henriksen MG, Parnas J. Self-disorders and schizophrenia: a phenomenological reappraisal of poor insight and noncompliance. *Schizophr Bull* May 2014;40(3):542-547.
7. Nelson B, Thompson A, Yung AR. Basic self-disturbance predicts psychosis onset in the ultra high risk for psychosis "prodromal" population. *Schizophr Bull* Nov 2012;38(6):1277-1287.
8. Jaspers K. *Allgemeine Psychopathologie*. Berlin: Springer; 1913.
9. Gruhle HW. Selbstschilderung und Einfuehlung. *Zeitschrift für die gesamte Neurologie und Psychiatrie* 1915;28:148-231.
10. Beringer K. *Der Meskalinrausch: Seine Geschichte und Erscheinungsweise*. Berlin: Verlag von Julius Springer; 1927.
11. Beringer K. Ichstörungen im Mescalindrausch. *Zentralblatt für die gesamte Neurologie und Psychiatrie* 1927;45(7/8).
12. Mayer-Gross W, Stein H. Über einige Abänderungen der Sinnestätigkeit im Meskalinrausch. *Zeitschrift für die gesamte Neurologie und Psychiatrie* 1926;101(1):354-386.
13. Hermle L, Oepen G, Spitzer M, Harrington A. Ichstörungen bei Modellpsychosen. In: Spitzer M, Uehlein FA, Oepen G, eds. *Psychopathology and Philosophy*. Berlin: Springer; 1988.
14. Gruhle HW. Psychologie des Abnormen. In: Kafka G, ed. *Handbuch der vergleichenden Psychologie*. Vol 3. München: Reinhardt; 1922.
15. Gruhle, HW in: Berze J, Gruhle HW. *Psychologie der Schizophrenie*: Vienna: Springer; 1929: 73-168.

16. Mayer-Gross W. Die Klinik der Schizophrenie. In: Bunke O, ed. *Handbuch der Geisteskrankheiten*. Vol IX. Berlin: Springer; 1932.
17. Mayer-Gross W, Stein J. Pathologie der Wahrnehmung. Psychopathologie und Klinik der Trugwahrnehmungen. In: Bunke O, ed. *Handbuch der Geisteskrankheiten* Berlin: Springer; 1928.
18. Huber G. Prodrome der Schizophrenie. *Fortschritte der Neurologie - Psychiatrie* 1995;63(4):131-13.
19. Mishara AL, Fusar-Poli P. The phenomenology and neurobiology of delusion formation during psychosis onset: Jaspers, Truman symptoms, and aberrant salience. *Schizophr Bull* Mar 2013;39(2):278-286.
20. Schneider K. *Psychischer Befund und psychiatrische Diagnose*. Leipzig, Germany: Thieme; 1939.
21. Hemsley DR. The development of a cognitive model of schizophrenia: placing it in context. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2005;29(6):977-988.
22. Adams R, Friston K. Bayesian inference, precision and psychosis. In: Mishara AL, Kranjec A, Corlett P, Fletcher P, Schwartz MA, eds. *Phenomenological Neuropsychiatry, How Patient Experience Bridges Clinic with Clinical Neuroscience*. New York: Springer.
23. Frith CD, Done DJ. Towards a neuropsychology of schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry* Oct 1988;153:437-443.
24. Northoff G, Heinzel A, de Greck M, Bermpohl F, Dobrowolny H, Panksepp J. Self-referential processing in our brain--a meta-analysis of imaging studies on the self. *NeuroImage* May 15 2006;31(1):440-457.
25. Farrer C, Frith CD. Experiencing oneself vs another person as being the cause of an action: the neural correlates of the experience of agency. *NeuroImage* Mar 2002;15(3):596-603.
26. Vogeley K, Fink GR. Neural correlates of the first-person-perspective. *Trends Cogn Sci* Jan 2003;7(1):38-42.
27. Christoff K, Cosmelli D, Legrand D, Thompson E. Specifying the self for cognitive neuroscience. *Trends in cognitive sciences* Mar 2011;15(3):104-112.
28. Legrand D, Ruby P. What is self-specific? Theoretical investigation and critical review of neuroimaging results. *Psychol Rev* Jan 2009;116(1):252-282.
29. Gallagher S. Neurocognitive models of schizophrenia: a neurophenomenological critique. *Psychopathology*. 2004;37:8-19.
30. Andreas Heinz: *Der Begriff der psychischen Krankheit*. Berlin, Germany: Suhrkamp/Insel Verlag; 2014.
31. Shergill SS, White TP, Joyce DW, Bays PM, Wolpert DM, Frith CD. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of impaired sensory prediction in schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry* Jan 2014;71(1):28-35.
32. Hauser, M., Knoblich, G., Repp, B. H., Lautenschlager, M., Gallinat, J., Heinz, A., & Voss, M. (2011). Altered sense of agency in schizophrenia and the putative psychotic prodrome. *Psychiatry research*. 2011;186(2):170-176.
33. Mechelli A, Allen P, Amaro E, Jr., Fu CH, Williams SC, Brammer MJ, Johns LC, McGuire PK. Misattribution of speech and impaired connectivity in patients with auditory verbal hallucinations. *Human brain mapping* Nov 2007;28(11):1213-1222.



34. McGuire, P. K., David, A. S., Murray, R. M., Frackowiak, R. S. J., Frith, C. D., Wright, I., & Silbersweig, D. A. Abnormal monitoring of inner speech: a physiological basis for auditory hallucinations. *The Lancet*. 1995;346(8975):596-600.
35. Robbins TW, Everitt BJ. A role for mesencephalic dopamine in activation: commentary on Berridge *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* Apr 2007;191(3):433-437.
36. Lisman JE, Grace AA. The hippocampal-VTA loop: controlling the entry of information into long-term memory. *Neuron* Jun 2 2005;46(5):703-713.
37. Robinson TE., Berridge KC. The neural basis of drug craving: an incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. *Brain research reviews*.1993;18(3):247-291.
38. Kapur S, Mizrahi R, Li M. From dopamine to salience to psychosis--linking biology, pharmacology and phenomenology of psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research* Nov 1 2005;79(1):59-68.
39. Schlagenhauf, F., Rapp, M. A., Huys, Q. J., Beck, A., Wüstenberg, T., Deserno, L.,...Heinz, A. Ventral striatal prediction error signaling is associated with dopamine synthesis capacity and fluid intelligence. *Human brain mapping* 2013;34(6):1490-1499.
40. Heinz A, Schlagenhauf F. Dopaminergic dysfunction in schizophrenia: salience attribution revisited. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* May 2010;36(3):472-485.
41. Blankenburg W. Der Verlust der natuerlichen Selbstverstaendlichkeit, Ein Beitrag zur Psychopathologie symptomarmer Schizophrenien. Stuttgart, Germany: Ferdinand Enke Verlag; 1971.
42. Blankenburg W, Mishara AL. First Steps Toward a Psychopathology of "Common Sense". *Philosophy, Psychiatry, & Psychology* 2001;8(4):303-315.
43. Morcillo C, Stochl J, Russo D, Zambrana A, Ratnayake N, Jones P, Perez J. First-Rank Symptoms and Premorbid Adjustment in Young Individuals at Increased Risk of Developing Psychosis. *Psychopathology* 2015;in press.
44. Ford JM, Mathalon DH. Electrophysiological evidence of corollary discharge dysfunction in schizophrenia during talking and thinking. *J Psychiatr Res* Jan 2004;38(1):37-46.